**Joint Statement on preserving net neutrality in the upcoming Digital Networks Act**

9 July 2025

As the European Commission prepares an upcoming proposal for a Digital Networks Act (DNA), the undersigned organisations and civil society groups wish to reaffirm their collective concern about the direction of ongoing discussions in the EU telecoms sector.

We are concerned about the continued calls by large telecom companies to introduce in the DNA proposal a dispute resolution mechanism for the Internet’s interconnection ecosystem. We regret that these proposals, first put forward by some telecom operators in 2022 as part of broader “Fair Share” mechanisms[[1]](#footnote-1), are still being actively considered in ongoing discussions on the future of the EU telecoms sector. As described by the large telecom operators themselves[[2]](#footnote-2), the goal of such a mechanism is to implement a so-called “fair share” settlement regime, whereby content and application providers (CAPs) would be required to pay telecom companies mandatory fees for delivering traffic.

We firmly oppose the introduction of such mechanism in EU law, which risks undermining net neutrality and Open Internet principles. This would have immediate and far-reaching consequences, harming European consumers[[3]](#footnote-3), businesses, digital rights and the sustainability of the creative and cultural sectors, ultimately risking a fragmented Internet[[4]](#footnote-4) and single market.

Measures introducing interconnection charges would risk driving-up costs, limiting choice and open access to information, undermining the affordability, quality, and diversity of digital products and services. If targeting EU operators, these measures would be particularly detrimental to the EU ecosystem. This would ultimately lead to higher subscription prices for consumers and disadvantage European CAPs, undermining investment in content creation and limiting consumer choice. In addition, it would also further raise market entry barriers[[5]](#footnote-5) for European SMEs and start-ups[[6]](#footnote-6), strengthening the position of a small number of incumbent telecom operators exploiting their terminational monopoly.

We recall that these proposals have already received a clear rejection by the vast majority of relevant stakeholders[[7]](#footnote-7) in previous wide-ranging public consultations because of their counterproductive effects for European consumers, digital rights, competition[[8]](#footnote-8), creative and cultural sectors, innovation and net neutrality.

BEREC, the Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications, has consistently shown the absence of a market failure that would require such regulatory intervention. According to BEREC[[9]](#footnote-9), Europe’s interconnection market functions smoothly, with balanced bargaining power among transit providers, content delivery networks and internet access operators. There is no evidence of systematic congestion or abuse warranting regulatory intervention. Instead, the introduction of an arbitration mechanism would allow large telecom operators to exploit termination monopolies to force payments from other actors, distorting competition at the expense of smaller operators, and undermine consumers rights to use the Internet freely, as guaranteed by EU net neutrality rules[[10]](#footnote-10).

We consider that Europe leads by example of its fundamental values and principles by promoting an open and competitive market. We therefore call on EU policy makers to reject such a regulatory intervention that could introduce direct or indirect payment obligations on CAPs, be it through a mandatory dispute resolution mechanism, mandated negotiations or any other mechanisms effectively introducing network usage fees. There is no evidence of market failure or verified necessity to revive the telephony‐era model of network‐usage fees, allowing incumbent telecom operators to exploit their termination monopolies.

We recall that, in line with the European Commission’s Better Regulation Principles, any proposal must adhere to a transparent and inclusive process, be based on available evidence, including past public consultations and BEREC’s assessments, and provide a thorough analysis that demonstrates a clear justification for regulatory intervention.
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